Case 1:23-cv-07138-MMG Document 42 Filed 03/22/24 Page 1 of 2

EPSTEIN BECKER GREEN

Attorneys at Law

Adriana Kosovych t 212.351.4257 f 212.878.8600 akosovych@ebglaw.com

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:
DATE FILED: 03/22/2024

March 21, 2024

BY ECF

Honorable Margaret M. Garnett United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: Gutierrez v. Alba Carting & Demolition Inc. et al.

Case No. 23 Civ. 7138 (MMG) (SDA)

Dear Judge Garnett:

We are counsel for defendants Alba Carting & Demolition Inc. and Andrew Horan (collectively "Defendants") in the above-referenced action. We write to respectfully request a brief adjournment of the status conference currently scheduled for March 28, 2024. This is the first request for an adjournment of the status conference.

The reason for this request is that counsel for defendants—the undersigned and Jeffrey H. Ruzal—both have scheduling conflicts in other matters. Specifically, the undersigned will be out of the office beginning March 25, 2024 and actively engaged in an Arbitration hearing in Detroit, MI, currently scheduled for March 26 and 27, 2024. Assuming the hearing concludes on March 27, 2024, the undersigned may be traveling back to New York on March 28. Mr. Ruzal will also be engaged in a client meeting for another matter at the time of the status conference.

Defendants' counsel notified Plaintiff's counsel of these scheduling conflicts and requested Plaintiff's consent to a brief adjournment. Counsel for Plaintiff refused, stating that Plaintiff would agree to conduct the conference remotely, but not to adjourn the conference to a later date. As both lead defense counsel will be traveling or actively engaged in other matters, a remote hearing does not resolve these conflicts. Plaintiff provided no reason for his opposition to the adjournment, nor did he articulate any conceivable prejudice that could result from this brief delay (Defendants respectfully submit that there is none).

Honorable Margaret M. Garnett March 21, 2024 Page 2

For these reasons, Defendants request that the Court adjourn the March 28, 2024, status conference to a later date convenient for the Court and the parties.¹

Relatedly, Defendants draw the Court's attention the joint status letter filed yesterday, March 20, 2024 (DE 38), which was accompanied by the parties' competing proposed revised Case Management Plans ("CMP") for the Court's consideration. Although Defendants did *not* agree to Plaintiff's proposed CMP, Counsel for Plaintiff included Defense Counsel's name in the signature line of their proposed discovery plan. To be clear, Defendants do not consent to Plaintiff's proposed CMP, and respectfully request the Court endorse Defendants' proposal (DE 38-2).

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Adriana Kosovych____

Adriana Kosovych

Application GRANTED. The conference is hereby adjourned to **Tuesday**, **April 2 at 9:30am**. The conference will be held in person in Courtroom 906 of the Thurgood Marshall Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York 10007.

SO ORDERED. Dated March 22, 2024.

HON. MARGARET M. GARNETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Prior to this matter's reassignment to Your Honor, a status conference was scheduled for May 21, 2024. Defendants are available on that date.